Preserve

App F IX 4

*Previous References* 193[illegible] (ML)

ML 48-38-185, 186, 189-
1991-2n
48-38 76, 89, 101, 111, 151 & 175

File No 48-38
Serial Nos 212

*Later References*

ML 47-40 No 96&97, 8 May '41
CT 50-49 (1,2)

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos* 2

**Part B for** Nov 40

**SUBJECT**

Formation of a road in Caramoka by the Lessee of a Rain Road from Murray Tope to Hossum Rock, near Lansford-Town Road to Kaad, Banjo

(212) To the ML Commr Bline thro the POI the Complaints thro Fl Scott & HeCR Engrs

WD 0573—GPB—22 500—12 9 39

No 3291 — 3 9 1/2-11 40

Sanction No

Shank Ward
9/11/40

Serial No

From the ML Commr, Bangalore Cy
No A2-1560/39 Dy 3-5-40

Requests sanction to an estimate amounting to Rs 32,300/- for the formation of a road in connection with the laying of a new road from Monkey Pope to Hosur Road.

In the sum of Rs 16,000/- was provided in the Govt sanctioning the scheme for laying a new road from Monkey Pope to Hosur Road & forming an extension of the Lalbagh and a sum of Rs 5000 is also provided for tunnels

The ML Commr now states that the sum of Rs 5000 is also included in the estimate for Rs 32,000/-

It is also stated that the cost of 3ft by 2ft side drains has not been included in the previous estimate and requested that an additional grant of Rs 10,800 may be sanctioned for this item of work

The estimate now sent up by the ML Commr is made up of the following items

| | |
|---|---|
| Amount already provided in the estimate for forming road in Govt Dy 15-7-39 | Rs 16,000 |
| Amount provided towards the cost of tunnel in Govt Dy 15/7/39 | 5,000 |
| Additional amount now asked for 3ft by 2ft side drains | 10,800 |
| Total | 31,800 |
| | 32,000 |

L Govt-504/ML48 38 Dy 15 7-39 (7 leaf "P")

"P"

NOTE—Contn Sheet

6805
1

File No. 48 of 1938

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

5 The file may perhaps be sent in the first instance to the Chief Engineer for the scrutiny of the estimate and for orders with the connected file

11/5

6 Forwarded with Compliments to the Chief Engineer for favour of scrutiny of the estimate & early return

By order

13 5 40 for Law Secy

II 6 41
Urgent
Reminder
15/5

Rs 0 No 237
15-5-40

Rs 2 0 7 862
15 5 40

Rs 0 - 9 3 5 -
30 5 40

Returned W/C

7) The Estimate has been Scrutinised & corrected to Rs 31 600/-

Chief Engineer of Mysore
Reminder 15/5

Received by 16/5/40

8) Noted with a draft Go for appl

Hon 2 30/5-

SECY LAW DEPT
30 MAY 1940
GOVT OF MYSORE

9) See proposal may be approved with financial concurrence

Law Secy)

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
U O No Fi
7 JUN 1940

Fin Secy W/C)

10) Hon 2/6/6

1066 Laying of a new road from Tope to Thum Munkey Road

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No — of 1939-40 — [App F III-2

Serial No

11) Reference is invited to the alterations in the second para

12) This schere was originally sanctioned on the expectation that the estimated expenditure would be Rs 63,750 and that Rs 66,000 could be realised by the sale of sites A further expenditure of Rs Rs 10,800 is now contemplated, and the scheme, which was originally considered to be self supporting is likely to involve heavy loss, unless increased realisations so as to off-set the probable increase in expenditure can also be counted upon

13) It is stated that the present estimate includes the cost of side drains (Rs 12,500) which was not included in the original estimate If this is a necessary item of expenditure, it should have been included in the original estimate only Defective preparation of estimates is undesirable and should be avoided

AD 12 6 40

OFFICE OF the SECRETARY OF COUNCIL 19 JUL 1940 No. A 908

II Member) 14) Please bring up very soon Hm 15/6/40 ICa 24-8 13/6

Spoken to the Member)

15) The Commr of Bangalore City Municipality may be asked why there was such a discrepancy about the estimate and he may be requested to see the Member & explain

Hm 10/7/40

10/7/40

NOTE—Contn Sheet

6805 ✓ 801

ML File No of 1939 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

16) Submd with a draft letter for appl

(Law Secy)

17) Hm 13/7

18) Sent

To The ML Commr, Bcity, thro DOs
180 L564 — Dt 17-7-40
Requests him to see the Second Member of council and explain why there was such a discrepancy in the estimate
From The ML Commr, Bcity, thro DOs
180 A2 1560/39-40 Dt 1-8-40
Forwards a copy of the Asst Engineer's report explaining the reasons for the deviations from the original estimate

19) Submd for perusal with reference to Para 15 supra
The ML Commr reports that he would also see the Second Member of council

(Law Secy)

Hm 13/8/40

Sent 14/8/40

Aus A 14/8

SECY LAW DEPT * 1 ... 1940 * GOVT OF MYSORE

TYPING SE ... 1 P ... 2

Laying out a new road from Monkey Hill to the new Lay-by

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 801/48 of 1938-39 — [App I III-2

| Serial No | |
|---|---|
| 2474 28/9/40 | (1) The HL Commr interviewed the Member of Council and explained the circumstances under which there was an increase in the estimate<br>The estimate may be sanctioned<br>II Member) Hm 28/9/40 |
| 3269 1/10/40 | (2) FCC 5/10 28/9/40 |
| | Hm 2/10/40 |
| | (3) Submitted with a draft GO for approval of the addl amount of Rs 10,000 required for this work will have to be treated as an advance<br>The GO may be issued with financial concurrence<br>Law Secy 17/10 |
| 2816 18/10 | Fin Secy WC) Hm 18/10/40 |
| 1257 | 18/10 |
| | I have suggested slight alterations in the draft order |
| | NOTE—Contn Sheet |
| F-Cen 1099 | Hm 28/10/40 |

80/
2

ml File No 48 of 1938 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No 212

To The ml Commr, Pocf. ~~the Pds~~
The Comptroller ~~the~~ Do secty
The Chief Engineer
No 3291-3 Dy 1/2-11-40
Approves of an estimate for Rs 31,600/- & also sanctions an advance of Rs 10,800 ~~an~~ advance

✓
B 6/11

6805

# BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No. B2- 1560/39
Encl One Estimate
Five Plans

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 3rd May 1940

From

S NARAYANA RAO ESQ M A (HONS)
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

H V Narayana Rao, Esqr , B A , LL B
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

Adverting to Government Order No L 500-504/ Ml 48-38-175 dated 14/15th July 1939, sanctioning the scheme for laying a new road from Monkey Tope to Hosur Road, and also to form an extension of the locality, I have the honour to forward herewith, an estimate for Rs 32,300/- received from the Assistant Engineer for the formation of the road and to request the favour of your kindly obtaining the sanction of Government thereto This amount includes Rs 5,000/-, the cost of the Tunnel, which was estimated separately in the previous estimate and the cost of 3' x 2' side drains which was not included in the original estimate A sum of Rs 10,800/- will have to be sanctioned in addition to the previously sanctioned amount of Rs 63,750/- There may, however be some decrease when tenders are called for and the additional amount may be sanctioned during the next official year as the work has to be taken up immediately, tenders have been called for in advance The sanction of Government may in these

circumstances

circumstances be obtained at a very early date

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

S Varadan

Municipal Commissioner

MRN

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

*Dated Bangalore* July 1940

No 2564 / ml

FROM

*The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*
Law Department

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City Municipality,
Through the President
City Municipal Council, Bangalore

S i r,

With reference to your letter No A 2 1560/39 dated 3rd May, 1940, requesting sanction to an estimate amounting to Rs. 32,300/- for the formation of a road from Monkey Tope near Langford Town Ookad to the Hosur Road, Bangalore City, and an additional grant of Rs 10,800/- for the purpose, I am directed to state that the scheme was originally sanctioned on the expectation that the estimated expenditure would be Rs 63,750/- and that a sum of Rs 66,000/- would be realised by the sale of sites. It is now seen that a further expenditure of Rs 10,800/- is contemplated, and the scheme which was originally considered to be self-supporting is likely to involve heavy loss unless increased realizations so as to off-set the probable increase in expenditure can also be counted upon I am to add that the present estimate for Rs 32,300/- includes the cost of side drains (Rs 12,500/-) which was not included in the original estimate and that, if it was a necessary item of expenditure, it should have been included in the original estimate itself Government observe that defective preparation of estimates should be strictly avoided

I am therefore to request you to see the Second Member of Council and explain as to why there was such a discrepancy in the estimate

The matter may be kindly treated as very urgent

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

(Draft) Secretary to Government
Law Department

NDA 2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

*Diary No of letter replied to*

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *19*

Date of issue 17/7

Signed by

and an addl Grant of Rs 10,800/- for the purpose

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section ml

File No

Serial No

No 564

To The ml Commr Bdg, thro PDs

Sir,

With reference to your letter no A2 1560/39 dy 3-5-40 requesting sanction to an estimate amounting to Rs 32,300 for the formation of a road from Monkey Pope near Langford Town to the Hosur Road. Bdg.

I am directed to state that the scheme was originally sanctioned on the expectation that the estimated expenditure would be Rs 63,750/- & that Rs 66,000/- would be realised as a result

WD 03695—GPE—30 000—29 1 40

by the sale of sites. It is now seen that a further expenditure of Rs 10,800/ is now contemplated, & the scheme, which was originally considered to be self-supporting is likely to involve heavy loss, unless increased realizations so as to off-set the probable increase in expenditure can also be counted upon. I am to add that the present estimate for Rs 32,300/- includes the cost of side drains (Rs 12,500) which was not included in the original estimate & that, if it was a necessary item of expenditure, it should have been included in the original estimate itself. Govt observe that defective preparation of estimates is undesirable and should be strictly avoided.

I am therefore to request you to see the Second Member of council and explain as to why

G R S App F G 3

GFNERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form*

there was such a discrepancy in ~~about~~ the estimate

The matter may be kindly treated as very urgent

I have etc

✓ Hm 1577

Sec'y to Govt Revs Deptt

801
L

# BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

No A2 1560/39-40

Encl

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 1st August, 194 0

From

S NARAYANA RAO ESQ M A (HONS)
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE CITY

To

H V Narayana Rao, Esq , B A , LL B
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 564/M1 dated 17th July 1940 in the matter of sanctioning the estimate for Rs 52,500/- for the formation of a road from Monkey Tope near the Langford Town Cokad, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Assistant Engineer's report explaining the reasons for the deviations from the original estimate

I shall also see the Second Member as desired in the reference under reply

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Forwarded with compliments,

Honorary President

Municipal Commissioner

2/8/40

kas/

Memo No T 65/40-41 dated 29th July 1940 from the Assistant Engineer, Bangalore City Municipality to the Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City

In the amount of Rs 65,750/- sanctioned by the Government for the acquisition and the construction of the Monkey Tope road, two items, viz (a) construction of the road for Rs 16,500/- and (b) construction of a tunnel for Rs 5,000 dealt with the Engineering portion of the road and the total of these two items comes to --

The previous estimate of Rs 16,500/- was for the construction of a plain road 100 feet wide with a tarred track of 34 feet in width But, subsequently, Mr G H Krumbiegel's plan for a -- double-tracked road (Fig 1) was adopted and a revised estimate for Rs 32,300/- was submitted, which estimate included the cost of construction of a tunnel for Rs 5,000/- also The difference of -- Rs 10,800/- between the revised and the previous estimates -- (Rs 32,300 - Rs 21,500 = Rs ~~xxx~~ 10,800) is principally due to the construction of the side drains of 3' x 2' in size The drains are absolutely necessary to drain the water from such a wide road and that too, constructed in such a valley

But, just before commencing the work, it was finally decided to adopt a modified form of road, the plan of which Fig is herewith submitted, along with a modified estimate for Rs 32,300 The difference between the modified and the revised estimates of Rs 32,300/- each is due to variations in quantities and items only, the total amount of Rs 32,300 remains the same

Further, according to our recent experiences during auctions, the land value has gone up and we can easily expect from Rs 3-8-0 to Rs 4/- per square yard in this area, especially when such sites are formed, after this fine road is constructed Taking a most conservative figure of Rs 2-8-0 per square yard, we can expect -- Rs 83,300/- for the 40 sites of 833 square yards each (100' x 75') against the sum of Rs 66,000/- (calculated at Rs 2/- per square yard) as previously reported In addition to these, about 36 sites of 75' x 50' can be formed adjoining the Horticultural Farm and the Hindu Burial Ground, and these have not been taken into calculation

P T O

When these sites are sold we can expect another Rs. 10,000/- The total expected expenditure due to the modified estimates is Rs 74,550/- (Rs 63,750 + Rs 10,800) Hence ~~xxxxx~~ from these figures it can be seen that this scheme is entirely self-supporting inspite of the extra expenditure

Hence, I request that the modified estimate for Rs 62,300/- may kindly be got sanctioned by the Government early so as to pay the Contractor who has done about 65% of the work and is requesting for some payment All non-tendered items will be paid 10% below the -- estimated or the schedule rates Due to tender, there will be good savings

B V Patankar,
Assistant Engineer

"C o p y"

S Nanjundaiah
Municipal Commissioner
2/8
2/8/40

kas/

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

*Diary No of letter replied to*

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *193*

Section Mtl

File No 48-38

Serial No 212

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

Date of issue 1st Nov 1940

"B"

Signed by Mr T R

*Read*— Go no L 500-4/Mtl 48-38. 1752/15-7-1939 sanctioning the scheme for laying a new road from Monkey Pope to the Hosur road and also the formation of an extension, and an advance of Rs 63,750 for the purpose 2 Letter no Correspondence ending with letter no A2 1560/39-40 Dt 1-8 40 from the Mtl Commr, Bangalore city, forwarding an estimate for Rs 32,300 ~~which includes~~ the sum of Rs 16,500 & Rs 5000/-

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

~~Order thereon—No~~

for the construction of a road (against Rs 21 500 included in the original scheme)
~~and of a tunnel respectively~~
~~referred to in the Go read above~~
[and stating that the ~~sum~~ of Rs 10,800/- for forming 3ft by 2ft side drains which has not been included in the original estimate has been included now as it is necessary & that a sum of Rs 10,800/- will have to be sanctioned therefor in addition]
and requesting sanction to the estimate amounting to Rs 32,300
and an additional ~~grant~~ advance of Rs 10,800

Order No L 3291-3

The estimate for Rs 31,600/- as corrected by the Chief Engineer is approved

An additional ~~grant~~ advance to the extent of Rs 10,800/- is also sanctioned [being the cost of forming 3ft by 2ft side drains]

*List of Enclosures*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

Hm 28/10/40
Secy to Govt
Law Deptt

To The Ml Commr, Beizf, two Pds (1/c Fr)
The Comptroller two Pds
The Chief Engineer

WD 0279—GPB—22 500—14 4 38

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE**

---

**Read —**

Government Order No. [illegible] / L.[illegible]-17[illegible] dated 17th July 1933 sanctioning the scheme for laying a new road from the Monkey Rope to the Fraser road and also the formation of an extension in that locality and an advance of Rs. 3,770 for the purpose.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.[illegible] dated 1st August 1940 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, forwarding an estimate for Rs.32,000 for the construction of a road against Rs.21,500 included in the original scheme, and requesting sanction to the estimate amounting to Rs.32,000 and an additional advance of Rs.10,000.

---

Order No. L 3291 S/ml 48 38 2/2

Dated, Bangalore, 1st November 1940.

The estimate for Rs.31,700/- as corrected by the Chief Engineer is approved.

An additional advance to the extent of Rs.10,000 is also sanctioned.

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City,
through the President (with records),
The Comptroller to Government,
through Financial Secretariat.
The Chief Engineer of [illegible].